



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,504	03/09/2001	Victor Keith Blanco	MS1-767US	7444	
22801 75	590 05/07/2003				
LEE & HAYES PLLC			EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		00	JONES, So	JONES, SCOTT E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3713	61	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	, 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		/\/			
Office Action Summary	09/802,504	BLANCO, VICTOR KEITH			
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Scott E. Jones	3713			
Period for Reply	source on the cover of out of the cover	on coponacino dadi coc			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.	<u> April 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-51</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) §	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 17, 2003 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This office action is in response to the RCE/amendment filed on April 17, 2003 in which applicant amends claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10-12, 32, and 41, responds to the claim rejections, and submits an IDS. Claims 1-18 and 21-51 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-18, and 21-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (U.s. 5,978,920).

Lee discloses a computer (game) system having a function of interrupting lewd/violent programs which includes a read-only-memory for storing an initialization program and a security grade setup program; a non-volatile memory device for storing a security grade which is a program classification code selected by a user (parent) for designating an unacceptable program

Art Unit: 3713

content contained in an application program, and a password for identifying the user (parent) when changing the security grade; and a controller for controlling execution of an application program according to the security grade of the application program and the security grade stored in the non-volatile memory device during initialization, and for controlling the changing of the security grade stored in the non-volatile memory device during the security grade setup, when the user (parent) inputs a password that corresponds to the password stored in the non-volatile memory device. As a result, the computer (game) system is able to limit access to application programs that contain unacceptable levels of graphic sex, violence, and strong language (Abstract, Figs. 1-5, Column 2, line 25-Column 3, line 16, Column 3, line 47-Column 4, line 43, Column 5, lines 6-30, Column 6, line 53-Column 7, line 20).

Furthermore, Lee provides an interface for downloading and executing programs downloaded via a network (Internet) by means of an external modem, wherein the application program is inserted into the computer system by way of a CD-ROM drive (37) or other available means at step (11). At the time of Lee's invention, downloading programs from the Internet, was notoriously well known as "other available means" for providing game programs for a computer system (Column 4, lines 64-65, and Column 6, lines 34-35). Additionally, Lee encompasses other media types such as television programs, etc. (Column 7, lines 7-32).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant alleges Claims 1-18, and 21-51 are not anticipated by Lee (U.S. 5,978,920). Regarding independent claims 1, 13, 23, 29, 32, 35, 38, and 47, Applicant alleges, "Lee fails to

Art Unit: 3713

Page 4

disclose or suggest multiple parental control settings associated with different media types."

However, the examiner disagrees and believes Lee discloses this feature. In particular, Lee discloses, "it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes and modifications may be made, and equivalents may be substituted for elements thereof without departing from the true scope of the present invention. For example, if the computer system is *further* constructed to serve as a television receiver, then the application program can *also* encompass television programs that carry different program classification codes for different levels of graphic sex, violence, and strong language." (Column 7, lines 23-32) (*Emphasis added*).

Therefore, Lee's computer system can encompass, in addition to game program media, television programs that have different program classification codes (multiple parental control settings).

- 7. Furthermore, Applicant alleges dependent claims 2-12, 14-18, 21-22, 24-28, 30-31, 33-34, 36-37, 39-46, and 48-51 are allowable over Lee for the same reasons provided for each of the independent claims. However, Applicant's arguments amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
- 8. Therefore, for the reasons discussed hereinabove, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

9. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

Art Unit: 3713

See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-

9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

8E3

sei

May 2, 2003